

1 Katie Glynn, Esq. (Attorney No. 300524)
2 **LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP**
3 390 Lytton Avenue
4 Palo Alto, California 94301
5 Telephone: 650-433-5800
6 Fax: 650-328-2799
7 kglynn@lowenstein.com

8 Jeffrey Blumenfeld, Esq. (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
9 **LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP**
10 2200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
11 Washington, DC 20037
12 Telephone: 202-753-3810
13 Fax: 212-262-7402
14 jblumenfeld@lowenstein.com

15 *Attorneys for Amici Curiae*
16 *the National Women’s Law Center,*
17 *the National Latina Institute for Reproductive*
18 *Health, SisterLove, Inc., and the National*
19 *Asian Pacific American Women’s Forum*

20 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR**
21 **THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

22 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
23
24 Plaintiffs,
25
26 vs.
27 ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,
28
29 Defendants.

Case No. 4:17-cv-5783-HSG

Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam

30 **BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE THE NATIONAL WOMEN’S LAW CENTER, THE**
31 **NATIONAL LATINA INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH, THE NATIONAL**
32 **ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN WOMEN’S FORUM, AND SISTERLOVE, INC. IN**
33 **SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
INTEREST AND IDENTITY OF AMICI CURIAE.....	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	2
ARGUMENT	4
I. THE DEPARTMENTS UNDERESTIMATE AND MINIMIZE THE HARM THE FINAL RULES WILL CAUSE.	4
A. The Departments Fail to Account for the Impact of the Rules on Those With Limited Resources.....	4
B. The Departments Significantly Underestimate the Number of Women Who Will Be Harmed by the Final Rules.	7
II. THE RULES WILL HARM THOSE WHO LOSE COVERAGE BY REINSTATING PRE-ACA COST AND OTHER BARRIERS TO CONTRACEPTION.	9
A. The Rules Will Make Contraception Cost-Prohibitive for Many People.....	9
B. The Rules Will Create Logistical, Administrative, and Informational Barriers to Contraception.....	12
III. THE RULES WILL HARM THE HEALTH, AUTONOMY, AND ECONOMIC SECURITY OF THOSE WHO LOSE CONTRACEPTIVE COVERAGE.....	13
A. The Rules Will Harm the Health of Individuals and Families.....	13
1. The Rules Place More People at Risk for Unintended Pregnancy and Associated Health Risks.	13
2. The Rules Will Undermine Health Benefits from Contraception.....	15
B. The Rules Will Undermine Individuals’ Autonomy and Control Over Their Reproductive and Personal Lives.....	16
C. The Rules Undermine Individuals’ Economic Security.	18
1. Access to Contraception Provides Life-Long Economic Benefits to Women, Families, and Society.	18
2. The Rules Will Exacerbate Economic and Social Disparities by Impeding Access to Contraception.....	19
CONCLUSION.....	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Buck v. Bell,
274 U.S. 200 (1927).....17

Griswold v. Connecticut,
381 U.S. 479 (1965).....16

Pennsylvania v. Trump,
281 F. Supp. 3d 553 (E.D. Pa. 2017)8

Planned Parenthood of Se. Pa. v. Casey,
505 U.S. 833 (1992).....16

STATUTES

8 U.S.C. § 1613(a)6

42 U.S.C. § 300a-4(c)(2).....6

42 U.S.C. § 300gg-13(a)(4)2

42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII).....6

REGULATIONS

42 C.F.R. §§ 59.2, 59.5(7), (8).....6

77 Fed. Reg. 8,725 (Feb. 15, 2012)3, 19

83 Fed. Reg. 57,536 (Nov. 15, 2018)2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15

83 Fed. Reg. 57,592 (Nov. 15, 2018).....2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 14

OTHER AUTHORITIES

155 Cong. Rec. S12,021 (daily ed. Dec. 1, 2009).....2

155 Cong. Rec. S12,033 (daily ed. Dec. 1, 2009).....2

A. Law et al., *Are Women Benefiting from the Affordable Care Act? A Real-World Evaluation of the Impact of the Affordable Care Act on Out-of-Pocket Costs for Contraceptives*, 93 *Contraception* 392 (2016)11

Alanna Williamson et al., Kaiser Family Found., *ACA Coverage Expansions and Low-Income Workers* 4 (2016), <http://files.kff.org/attachment/ACA-Coverage-Expansions-and-Low-Income-Workers>5

1 Adam Sonfield, *The Case for Insurance Coverage of Contraceptive Services and Supplies*
 2 *Without Cost-Sharing*, 14 Guttmacher Pol’y Rev. 7 (2011).....10

3 Adam Sonfield et al., Guttmacher Inst., *Moving Forward: Family Planning in the Era of Health*
 4 *Reform* (2014)15

5 Adam Sonfield et al., Guttmacher Inst., *The Social and Economic Benefits of Women’s Ability to*
 6 *Determine Whether and When to Have Children* (2013),
 7 [https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/](https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/social-economic-benefits.pdf)
 8 [social-economic-benefits.pdf](https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/social-economic-benefits.pdf)18, 19

9 Am. Coll. of Obstetricians & Gynecologists, *Committee Opinion No. 554, Reproductive and*
 10 *Sexual Coercion 2-3* (2013), [https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-](https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co554.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T2206346190)
 11 [Opinions/Committee-](https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co554.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T2206346190)
 12 [on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-](https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co554.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T2206346190)
 13 [Women/co554.pdf?](https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co554.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T2206346190)17, 18

14 Am. Coll. of Obstetricians & Gynecologists, *Committee Opinion No. 649: Racial & Ethnic*
 15 *Disparities in Obstetrics & Gynecology* (2015), [https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-](https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co649.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T1849308146)
 16 [Opinions/Committee-](https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co649.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T1849308146)
 17 [on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-](https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co649.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T1849308146)
 18 [Women/co649.pdf?](https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co649.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T1849308146)13

19 Amy O. Tsui et al., *Family Planning and the Burden of Unintended Pregnancies*, 32
 20 *Epidemiologic Rev.* 152 (2010).....14

21 Ana Nuevo Chiquero, *The Labor Force Effects of Unplanned Childbearing* (Boston Univ., Job
 22 *Market Paper* Nov. 2010),
 23 http://www.unavarra.es/digitalAssets/141/141311_100000Paper_Ana_Nuevo_Chiquero.pdf.
 2419

25 Ashley H. Snyder et al., *The Impact of the Affordable Care Act on Contraceptive Use and Costs*
 26 *among Privately Insured Women*, 28 *Women’s Health Issues* 219 (2018).3, 9, 11

27 Bearek et al., *Changes in Out-Of-Pocket Costs for Hormonal IUDs after Implementation of the*
 28 *Affordable Care Act: An Analysis of Insurance Benefit Inquiries*, 93 *Contraception* 139 (2016)
11

Black Mamas Matter Alliance, *Black Mamas Matter Toolkit Advancing the Right to Safe and*
Respectful Maternal Health Care (2018),
[https://www.reproductiverights.org/sites/crr.civicactions.net/files/documents/USPA_BMMA_](https://www.reproductiverights.org/sites/crr.civicactions.net/files/documents/USPA_BMMA_Toolkit_Booklet-Final-Update_Web-Pages.pdf)
Toolkit_Booklet-Final-Update_Web-Pages.pdf14

Carole Joffe & Willie J. Parker, *Race, Reproductive Politics and Reproductive Health Care in the*
Contemporary United States, 86 *Contraception* 1 (2012)16, 17

Caroline Rosenzweig et al., Kaiser Family Found., *Women’s Sexual and Reproductive Health*
Services: Key Findings from the 2017 Kaiser Women’s Health Survey (2018),
[http://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-Womens-Sexual-and-Reproductive-Health-](http://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-Womens-Sexual-and-Reproductive-Health-Services-Key-Findingsfrom-the-2017-Kaiser-Womens-Health-Survey)
Services-Key-Findingsfrom-the-2017-Kaiser-Womens-Health-Survey15, 16

1 Cassandra Logan et al., Nat’l Campaign to Prevent Teen & Unplanned Pregnancy, Child Trends,
 2 Inc., *The Consequences of Unintended Childbearing: A White Paper* (2007),
 3 <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b353/b02ae6cad716a7f64ca48b3edae63544c03e.pdf>14
 4 Catholic Benefits Ass’n, <https://catholicbenefitsassociation.org/> (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).7
 5 Catholics for Choice, *The Facts Tell the Story 2014-2015* 5 (2014),
 6 <http://www.catholicsforchoice.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/FactsTelltheStory2014.pdf>. 8
 7 Christine Dehlendorf et al., *Disparities in Family Planning*, 202 Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 214
 8 (2010).....12
 9 Claudia Goldin & Lawrence F. Katz, *The Power of the Pill: Oral Contraceptives and Women’s
 10 Career and Marriage Decisions*, 110 J. Pol. Econ. 730 (2002).19
 11 Compliance with Statutory Program Integrity Requirements, HHS-OS-2018-0008, at 113
 12 (proposed May 22, 2018) (to be codified at 42 C.F.R. Part 59)7
 13 Debbie Postlethwaite et al., *A Comparison of Contraceptive Procurement Pre- and Post-Benefit
 14 Change*, 76 Contraception 360 (2007).....10, 11
 15 Deborah Gray White, *Ar’n’t I a Woman?: Female Slaves in the Plantation South* (W.W. Norton
 16 & Co. ed., 1999).....16
 17 Economic Policy Institute, *Family Budget Calculator, Monthly Costs*,
 18 <https://www.epi.org/resources/budget/> (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).....5
 19 Elena R. Gutiérrez, *Fertile Matters: the Politics of Mexican-Origin Women’s Reproduction*
 20 (2008).....17
 21 Elizabeth Miller et al., *Reproductive Coercion: Connecting the Dots Between Partner Violence
 22 and Unintended Pregnancy*, 81 Contraception 457 (2010).18
 23 Erin Armstrong et al., *Intrauterine Devices and Implants: A Guide to Reimbursement* 5 (Regents
 24 of U.C. et al. 2d ed. 2015), <https://www.nationalfamilyplanning.org/file/documents>10
 25 Express Scripts, *2015 Drug Trends Report* 118 (2016), [http://lab.express-scripts.com/lab/drug-
 26 trend-report/~media/e2c9d19240e94fcf893b706e13068750.ashx](http://lab.express-scripts.com/lab/drug-trend-report/~media/e2c9d19240e94fcf893b706e13068750.ashx) 11
 27 Express Scripts, *2016 Drug Trends Report* 24 (2017), [http://lab.express-scripts.com/lab/drug-
 28 trend-report/~media/29f13dee4e7842d6881b7e034fc0916a.ashx](http://lab.express-scripts.com/lab/drug-trend-report/~media/29f13dee4e7842d6881b7e034fc0916a.ashx)11
 Fatima Saleem & Syed W. Rizvi, *Transgender Associations and Possible Etiology: A Literature
 Review*.....17
 Guttmacher Inst., *A Real-Time Look at the Impact of the Recession on Women’s Family Planning
 and Pregnancy Decisions* 5 (2009),
https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/recessionfp_1.pdf.10

1 Guttmacher Inst., *Insurance Coverage of Contraception* (Dec. 2016),
 2 <https://www.guttmacher.org/evidence-you-can-use/insurance-coverage-contraception>.....11

3 Guttmacher Inst., *Publicly Funded Family Planning Services in the United States* 1 (2016),
 4 https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/factsheet/fb_contraceptive_serv_0.pdf.....14

5 Health Res. & Servs. Admin., *Women’s Preventive Services Guidelines*,
 6 <https://www.hrsa.gov/womens-guidelines-2016/index.html> (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).....2

7 Heinrich H. Hock, *The Pill and the College Attainment of American Women and Men* (Fla. St.
 Univ., Working Paper 2007).....18

8 Inst. of Medicine, *Clinical Preventive Services for Women:
 9 Closing the Gaps* (2011)10, 13, 14, 16

10 James Trussell et al., *Erratum to “Cost Effectiveness of Contraceptives in the United States”*
 11 [*Contraception* 79 (2009) 5-14], 80 *Contraception* 229 (2009).9

12 Jamila Taylor & Nikita Mhatre, *Contraceptive Coverage Under the Affordable Care Act*, Ctr. for
 13 Am. Progress (Oct. 6, 2017, 5:09 PM),
 14 <https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/women/news/2017/10/06/440492/contraceptive-coverage-affordable-care-act/>10

15 Jasmine Tucker & Kayla Patrick, Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr., *Women in Low-Wage Jobs May Not
 16 Be Who You Expect* 1 (2017), <https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Women-in-Low-Wage-Jobs-May-Not-Be-Who-You-Expect.pdf>.....5, 20

17 Jeffrey F. Peipert et al., *Preventing Unintended Pregnancies by Providing No-Cost
 18 Contraception*, 120 *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 1291 (2012).15

19 Jennifer J. Frost et al., Guttmacher Inst., *Contraceptive Needs and Services, 2014 Update* 12
 20 (2016), https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/contraceptive-needs-and-services-2014_1.pdf.....6

21 Jennifer J. Frost & Jacqueline E. Darroch, *Factors Associated with Contraceptive Choice and
 22 Inconsistent Method Use, United States, 2004*, 40 *Persps. on Sexual & Reprod. Health* 94
 23 (2008).....15

24 Jennifer J. Frost & Laura Duberstein Lindberg, *Reasons for Using Contraception: Perspectives of
 25 US Women Seeking Care at Specialized Family Planning Clinics*, 87 *Contraception* 465
 (2013).16

26 Jessie Hellmann, *Trump Administration Rescinds Obama Guidance on Defunding Planned
 27 Parenthood*, *The Hill* (Jan. 19, 2018, 11:15 AM).....7

28

1 Jo Jones et al., Ctrs. For Disease Control & Prevention, *Nat’l Health Statistics Reps.: Current*
 2 *Contraceptive Use in the United States 2006-2010, and Changes in Patterns of Use Since*
 3 *1995* (2012), <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr060.pdf>.....12

4 Juno Obedin-Maliver & Harvey J. Makadon, *Transgender Men and Pregnancy*, 9 *Obstetric Med.*
 5 4, 6 (2015).17

6 Kashif Syed, Advocates for Youth, *Ensuring Young People’s Access to Preventive Services in the*
 7 *Affordable Care Act 2* (2014),
 8 <http://www.advocatesforyouth.org/storage/advfy/documents/Preventive%20Services%20in%20the%20ACA-11-24-14.pdf>.....14

9 Kimberly Daniels et al., Ctrs. For Disease Control & Prevention, *62 Nat’l Health Stats. Reps.: Contraceptive Methods Women Have Ever Used: United States, 1982–2010* (2013),
 10 <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr062.pdf>.....8

11 Lawrence B. Finer & Mia R. Zolna, *Shifts in Intended and Unintended Pregnancies in the United States, 2001–2008*, 104 *Am. J. Pub. Health* S43, S47 (2014)13

12 Lisa L. Lindley & Katrina M. Walsemann, *Sexual Orientation and Risk of Pregnancy Among New York City High-School Students*, 105 *Am. J. Pub. Health* 1379, 1383 (2015).14

13 Martha J. Bailey et al., *The Opt-in Revolution? Contraception and the Gender Gap in Wages*, 4
 14 *Am. Econ. J. Appl. Econ.* 225 (2012),
 15 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3684076/>.18

16 Nat’l Latina Inst. for Reproductive Health, *Latina/o Voters’ Views and Experiences Around Reproductive Health 2* (2018),
 17 http://latinainstitute.org/sites/default/files/NLIRH%20Survey%20Report_F_0.pdf.....9, 12

18 Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr., *Collateral Damage: Scheduling Challenges for Workers in Low-Wage Jobs and Their Consequences* (2017), <https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/Collateral-Damage.pdf>.12, 19

19 Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr., *Equal Pay for Asian and Pacific Islander Women* (2018), <https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Asian-Women-Equal-Pay-Feb-2018.pdf>.....20

20 Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr., *FAQs About the Wage Gap* (2017), <https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/FAQ-About-the-Wage-Gap-2017.pdf>20

21 Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr., *It Shouldn’t Be a Heavy Lift: Fair Treatment for Pregnant Workers 1*
 22 (2016), https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/pregnant_workers.pdf.....20

23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 Nat'l Women's Law Ctr., *New Data Estimates 62.8 Million Women Have Coverage of Birth*
 2 *Control Without Out-of-Pocket Costs* (2018), [https://nwlc-](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw51bab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/New-Preventive-Services-Estimates-4.pdf)
 3 [ciw49tixgw51bab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/New-Preventive-Services-](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw51bab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/New-Preventive-Services-Estimates-4.pdf)
 4 [Estimates-4.pdf](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw51bab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/New-Preventive-Services-Estimates-4.pdf).3

5 Nat'l Women's Law Ctr., *Sexual Harassment & Assault in Schools*, [https://nwlc.org/issue/sexual-](https://nwlc.org/issue/sexual-harassment-assault-in-schools/)
 6 [harassment-assault-in-schools/](https://nwlc.org/issue/sexual-harassment-assault-in-schools/) (last visited Dec. 28, 2018)17

7 Nora V. Becker & Daniel Polsky, *Women Saw Large Decrease in Out-Of-Pocket Spending for*
 8 *Contraceptives After ACA Mandate Removed Cost Sharing*,
 9 *34 Health Affairs* 1204 (2015)9, 11

10 Office of Disease Prevention & Health Promotion, *HealthyPeople 2020: Family Planning,*
 11 *HealthyPeople.gov*, [https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/family-](https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/family-planning)
 12 [planning](https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/family-planning) (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).14

13 Paraprofessional Healthcare Inst., *U.S. Nursing Assistants Employed in Nursing Homes: Key*
 14 *Facts*, <https://phinational.org/wp-content/uploads/legacy/phi-nursing-assistants-key-facts.pdf>
 15 (last visited Dec. 28, 2018)5

16 Power to Decide, *Publicly Funded Sites Offering All Birth Control Methods By County*,
 17 <https://powertodecide.org/what-we-do/access/access-birth-control> (last visited Dec. 28, 2018)
 186, 7

19 Proud Heritage: People, Issues, and Documents of the LGBT Experience, Vol. 2 (Chuck Stewart,
 20 ed. 2015)17

21 Rachel K. Jones & Joerg Dreweke, Guttmacher Inst., *Countering Conventional Wisdom: New*
 22 *Evidence on Religion and Contraceptive Use* (2011),
 23 [https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/](https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/religion-and-contraceptive-use.pdf)
 24 [religion-and-contraceptive-use.pdf](https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/religion-and-contraceptive-use.pdf)8

25 Renee Montagne & Nina Martin, *Focus On Infants During Childbirth Leaves U.S. Moms In*
 26 *Danger*, Nat'l Pub. Radio (May 12, 2017, 5:00 AM),
 27 [https://www.npr.org/2017/05/12/527806002/focus-on-infants-during-childbirth-leaves-u-s-](https://www.npr.org/2017/05/12/527806002/focus-on-infants-during-childbirth-leaves-u-s-moms-in-danger)
 28 [moms-in-danger](https://www.npr.org/2017/05/12/527806002/focus-on-infants-during-childbirth-leaves-u-s-moms-in-danger)14

Reproductive Health, *Latina/o Voters' Views and Experiences Around Reproductive Health 2*
 (2018), http://latinainstitute.org/sites/default/files/NLIRH%20Survey%20Report_F_0.pdf....9

Sandy E. James et al., Nat'l Ctr. for Transgender Equality, *The Report of the 2015 U.S.*
Transgender Survey (2015), [https://www.transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/USTS-Full-](https://www.transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/USTS-Full-Report-FINAL.PDF)
Report-FINAL.PDF.13

SL Budge et al., *Anxiety and Depression in Transgender Individuals: The Roles of Transition*
Status, Loss, Social Support, and Coping, 81 *J. Consult Clin. Psych.* 545 (2013)17

1 Stacey McMorrow, Urban Inst., *Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Use of Prescription*
 2 *Contraception: The Role of Insurance Coverage* (forthcoming),
 3 <https://academyhealth.confex.com/academyhealth/2017arm/meetingapp.cgi/Paper/17939>
11,12

4 U.S. Census Bureau, *2015 ACS 1-Year Estimates: Table S0201, Selected Population Profile in the*
 5 *United States*,
 6 https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/15_1YR/S0201//popgroup~031 (last
 visited Dec. 28, 2018)20

7 U.S. Dep’t of Health and Human Servs., Asst. Sec’y for Planning and Education, *Compilation of*
 8 *State Data on the Affordable Care Act*, [https://aspe.hhs.gov/compilation-state-data-affordable-](https://aspe.hhs.gov/compilation-state-data-affordable-care-act)
care-act (last visited Dec. 28, 2018)6

9 U.S. Dep’t of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, *Occupational Employment Statistics, May 2017*
 10 *State Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates: California*,
 11 https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_ca.htm#31-0000 (last updated Mar. 30, 2018)5

12 U.S. Dep’t of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, *Standard Occupational Classification Manual*
 114 (2018).....5

13 W. Canestaro et al., *Implications of Employer Coverage of Contraception: Cost-Effectiveness*
 14 *Analysis of Contraception Coverage Under an Employer Mandate*, 95 *Contraception* 77
 15 (2017).....15

16 Zenen Jaimes et al., Generation Progress & Advocates for Youth, *Protecting Birth Control*
 17 *Coverage for Young People* 1 (2015),
 18 [http://www.advocatesforyouth.org/storage/advfy/documents/Factsheets/protecting%20birth%](http://www.advocatesforyouth.org/storage/advfy/documents/Factsheets/protecting%20birth%20control%20coverage%20factsheet-2-18-15.pdf)
 19 [20control%20coverage%20factsheet-2-18-15.pdf](http://www.advocatesforyouth.org/storage/advfy/documents/Factsheets/protecting%20birth%20control%20coverage%20factsheet-2-18-15.pdf).10

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **INTEREST AND IDENTITY OF AMICI CURIAE**

2 Amici the National Women’s Law Center, the National Latina Institute for Reproductive
3 Health, SisterLove, Inc., the National Asian Pacific American Women’s Forum, and the 40
4 additional organizations listed in the Appendix, are national and regional organizations
5 committed to obtaining racial justice, economic security, gender equity, civil rights, and
6 reproductive justice for all, which includes ensuring that individuals who may become pregnant
7 have access to full and equal health coverage, including contraceptive coverage without cost-
8 sharing, as guaranteed by the Affordable Care Act (“ACA”). We submit this brief to
9 demonstrate the irreparable harm that will result, particularly to those who face multiple and
10 intersecting forms of discrimination, if the Administration’s final rules regarding the ACA’s
11 contraceptive coverage requirement are permitted to go into effect as scheduled on January 14,
12 2019.¹

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

¹ No counsel for a party authored this brief in whole or in part, and no person other than Amici
28 Curiae and their counsel made a monetary contribution to fund the preparation or submission of
this brief.

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

At stake in this litigation are the health and livelihoods of people in the Plaintiff States and across the United States who will suffer irreparable harm under the Administration’s two final rules regarding the ACA’s contraceptive coverage requirement²—particularly Black, Latinx,³ Asian American and Pacific Islander (“AAPI”) women and other people of color, young people, people with limited resources, transgender men and gender non-conforming people, immigrants, people with limited English proficiency, survivors of sexual and interpersonal violence, and others who face multiple and intersecting forms of discrimination.

The ACA’s contraceptive coverage requirement requires employers to provide insurance coverage without cost-sharing for all FDA-approved methods of contraception for women, and related education, counseling, and services.^{4,5} Congress intended the Women’s Health Amendment of the ACA to reduce gender discrimination in health insurance by ensuring that it covers women’s major health needs and that women no longer pay more for health care than men, including by decreasing the cost of contraception.⁶ The Departments of Health and Human

² Religious Exemptions and Accommodations for Coverage of Certain Preventative Services Under the Affordable Care Act, 83 Fed. Reg. 57,536 (Nov. 15, 2018) (hereinafter “Religious Exemptions”); Moral Exemptions and Accommodations for Coverage of Certain Preventative Services Under the Affordable Care Act, 83 Fed. Reg. 57,592 (Nov. 15, 2018) (hereinafter “Moral Exemptions”).

³ “Latinx” is a term that represents a gender-neutral alternative to Latino and Latina and encompasses the identities of transgender and gender non-conforming individuals of Latin American descent.

⁴ This brief uses the term “women” because the rules target women, and the ACA was intended to end discrimination against women. As we discuss, the denial of reproductive health care and related insurance coverage also affects some gender non-conforming people and transgender men.

⁵ 42 U.S.C. § 300gg-13(a)(4); Health Res. & Servs. Admin., *Women’s Preventive Services Guidelines*, <https://www.hrsa.gov/womens-guidelines-2016/index.html> (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).

⁶ 42 U.S.C. § 300gg-13(a)(4); *see also* 155 Cong. Rec. S12,021, S12,026 (daily ed. Dec. 1, 2009) (statement of Sen. Mikulski) (Women’s Health Amendment intended to alleviate “punitive practices of insurance companies that charge women more and give [them] less in a benefit”); 155 Cong. Rec. S12,033, S12,052 (daily ed. Dec. 1, 2009) (statement of Sen. Franken) (Women’s Health Amendment intended to incorporate “affordable family planning services” to

1 Services, Treasury, and Labor (the “Departments”) previously acknowledged this intent,
2 explaining that Congress added the ACA Women’s Health Amendment because “women have
3 unique health care needs and burdens . . . includ[ing] contraceptive services,” and that the
4 “Departments aim to reduce these disparities by providing women broad access to preventive
5 services, including contraceptive services.”⁷

6 The ACA contraceptive coverage requirement has furthered these aims by eliminating
7 the out-of-pocket costs of contraception and ensuring coverage of the full range of FDA-
8 approved contraceptives and related services for women. Today, an estimated 62.8 million
9 women are eligible for coverage of the contraceptive method that works best for them,
10 irrespective of cost.⁸ As a result, use of contraception—especially highly-effective long-acting
11 reversible contraceptives (“LARCs”) such as intrauterine devices (“IUDs”) and contraceptive
12 implants—has increased.⁹

13 The final rules would reverse these gains by establishing a sweeping exemption
14 permitted by neither the text nor the legislative history of the ACA, allowing virtually any
15 employer or university to deny insurance coverage for contraception and related services to
16 employees, students, and their dependents. These expansive exemptions would undermine
17 gender equality by reintroducing the very inequities that Congress meant to remedy.

18 This brief first establishes that the Departments dramatically understate the harm the
19 final rules will cause if allowed to take effect, both in terms of impact on people with limited
20

21 “enable women and families to make informed decisions about when and how they become
22 parents”).

23 ⁷ Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services
24 Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, 77 Fed. Reg. 8,727, 8,728 (Feb. 15, 2012)
[hereinafter “ACA Coverage”].

25 ⁸ Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr., *New Data Estimates 62.8 Million Women Have Coverage of Birth*
26 *Control Without Out-of-Pocket Costs* (2018), [https://nwlc-](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5l1bab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/New-Preventive-Services-Estimates-4.pdf)
27 [ciw49tixgw5l1bab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/New-Preventive-Services-](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5l1bab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/New-Preventive-Services-Estimates-4.pdf)
28 [Estimates-4.pdf](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5l1bab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/New-Preventive-Services-Estimates-4.pdf).

⁹ See Ashley H. Snyder et al., *The Impact of the Affordable Care Act on Contraceptive Use and*
28 *Costs among Privately Insured Women*, 28 *Women’s Health Issues* 219, 222 (2018).

1 means and the sheer number of people affected. Second, the brief provides data showing that
2 the rules will make contraception cost-prohibitive and will create other non-financial barriers to
3 contraception for many who lose coverage. Third, the brief discusses the multiple ways the
4 rules will irreparably harm those who lose contraceptive coverage. The rules will: (1) jeopardize
5 health by increasing unintended pregnancies and aggravating medical conditions managed by
6 contraception; (2) undermine individuals' autonomy and control over their lives; and (3)
7 threaten individuals' economic security. As highlighted throughout this brief, the rules will
8 particularly harm people of color and others who already face systemic discrimination in the
9 Plaintiff States and nationwide.

10 Because the final rules, if implemented, would result in nationwide, irreparable harm
11 absent preliminary relief, Amici urge the Court to grant Plaintiffs' requested injunctive relief.

12 ARGUMENT

13 **I. THE DEPARTMENTS UNDERESTIMATE AND MINIMIZE THE HARM THE** 14 **FINAL RULES WILL CAUSE.**

15 The final rules assert that the exemptions do not burden third parties to a degree that
16 counsels against providing the exemptions.¹⁰ However, the Departments rely on faulty
17 assumptions and misleading data, and fail to adequately weigh this burden.

18 **A. The Departments Fail to Account for the Impact of the Rules on** 19 **Those With Limited Resources.**

20 The Departments minimize the likely impact of the final rules on people with limited
21 resources, who are disproportionately women of color and young people. These individuals
22 have the fewest resources to pay out-of-pocket for medical expenses and are among those most
23 likely to be irreparably harmed.

24 The Departments suggest that women with low incomes and women of color are less
25 likely to be reliant upon employer-sponsored health plans, and thus the rules will have little
26

27 _____
28 ¹⁰ Religious Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. 57,536, 57,548-49; Moral Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg.
57,592, 57,605-06.

1 effect on them.¹¹ To the contrary, many low-wage workers—who are disproportionately women
2 of color¹²—and their dependents rely on employer-sponsored health insurance and stand to lose
3 coverage under the rules.¹³

4 For example, over half of nursing assistants—making a median hourly wage of
5 \$14.84¹⁴—and their dependents rely on employer-sponsored coverage; the majority are women
6 of color.¹⁵ California’s 98,500 full-time nursing assistants’ median wage, about \$2,572
7 monthly, is less than the amount needed to cover basic monthly expenses including housing,
8 food, transportation, and health care.¹⁶ Faced with out-of-pocket expenses for contraception,
9 many female nursing assistants, particularly women of color, will be forced to forgo
10 contraception or other necessities due to cost.

11 The same holds true for young people, who often have limited resources, large
12 educational debt, and little ability to absorb extra costs. Many young people rely on student
13 health plans governed by the ACA. Other young people are dependents in employer-sponsored
14 plans, either from their own employment or because the ACA allows young adults to remain on
15

16 ¹¹ Religious Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at 57,551, 57,574, 57,576; Moral Exemptions, 83 Fed.
17 Reg. at 57,608.

18 ¹² Jasmine Tucker & Kayla Patrick, Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr., *Women in Low-Wage Jobs May*
19 *Not Be Who You Expect* 1 (2017), [https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Women-in-Low-Wage-Jobs-May-Not-Be-Who-You-Expect.pdf)
20 [content/uploads/2017/08/Women-in-Low-Wage-Jobs-May-Not-Be-Who-You-Expect.pdf](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Women-in-Low-Wage-Jobs-May-Not-Be-Who-You-Expect.pdf).

21 ¹³ Alanna Williamson et al., Kaiser Family Found., *ACA Coverage Expansions and Low-Income*
22 *Workers* 4 (2016), [http://files.kff.org/attachment/ACA-Coverage-Expansions-and-Low-Income-](http://files.kff.org/attachment/ACA-Coverage-Expansions-and-Low-Income-Workers)
23 [Workers](http://files.kff.org/attachment/ACA-Coverage-Expansions-and-Low-Income-Workers) (just under one-third of low-income workers had employer-sponsored coverage in
24 2014).

25 ¹⁴ U.S. Dep’t of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, *Occupational Employment Statistics, May*
26 *2017 State Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates: California*,
27 https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_ca.htm#31-0000 (last updated Mar. 30, 2018); U.S. Dep’t
28 of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, *Standard Occupational Classification Manual* 114 (2018).

¹⁵ Paraprofessional Healthcare Inst., *U.S. Nursing Assistants Employed in Nursing Homes: Key*
29 *Facts*, <https://phinational.org/wp-content/uploads/legacy/phi-nursing-assistants-key-facts.pdf>
30 (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).

¹⁶ Economic Policy Institute, *Family Budget Calculator, Monthly Costs*,
31 <https://www.epi.org/resources/budget/> (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).

1 their parent's or guardian's health plan until age 26. From 2010-2013, 2.3 million dependent
2 young adults gained or maintained coverage under this provision and stand to lose contraceptive
3 coverage under the rules if their parents' employers object to it.¹⁷

4 The Departments also incorrectly assume that many who lose contraceptive coverage can
5 access contraception through existing government-sponsored programs, such as Title X,
6 Medicaid, and state-run programs.¹⁸ While the rules will certainly force thousands more women
7 to seek contraceptive care from these already-strained programs, causing the States fiscal harm,
8 many who lose ACA coverage will not be able to access such care due to eligibility restrictions
9 and capacity constraints. In addition to income- and category-based eligibility criteria for these
10 programs,¹⁹ anti-immigrant provisions in Medicaid restrict eligibility for most lawful permanent
11 residents—many of whom are Latinx and AAPI—for five years.²⁰ For eligible women,
12 Medicaid and Title X do not have the capacity to meet current needs, much less the demand
13 from thousands who lose coverage due to the final rules.²¹ Moreover, there are regions in the
14 Plaintiff States, including San Benito, Tehama, and Yuba Counties in California, without
15 reasonable access (one clinic per 1,000 women in need) to a publicly-funded clinic offering the
16 full range of FDA-approved contraceptive methods.²² The Administration's ongoing attempts to
17 restructure Title X and Medicaid will further burden already-scarce resources.²³

18 ¹⁷ U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Servs., Asst. Sec'y for Planning and Education, Compilation
19 of State Data on the Affordable Care Act, [https://aspe.hhs.gov/compilation-state-data-](https://aspe.hhs.gov/compilation-state-data-affordable-care-act)
20 [affordable-care-act](https://aspe.hhs.gov/compilation-state-data-affordable-care-act) (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).

21 ¹⁸ Religious Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at 57,548, 57,551; Moral Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at
22 57,605.

23 ¹⁹ See, e.g., 42 U.S.C. § 300a-4(c)(2); 42 C.F.R. §§ 59.2, 59.5(7), (8) (free care at Title X clinics
24 limited to families at 100% federal poverty level [FPL]; subsidized care restricted to 250%
25 FPL); see also 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) (limiting Medicaid eligibility for childless,
26 non-pregnant adults to 133% FPL).

27 ²⁰ 8 U.S.C. § 1613(a).

28 ²¹ Jennifer J. Frost et al., Guttmacher Inst., *Contraceptive Needs and Services, 2014 Update* 12,
30 (2016), [https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/contraceptive-needs-and-](https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/contraceptive-needs-and-services-2014_1.pdf)
services-2014_1.pdf (publicly-funded providers met only 39% of need for publicly-supported
contraceptive services in 2014).

²² Power to Decide, *Publicly Funded Sites Offering All Birth Control Methods By County*,

1 **B. The Departments Significantly Underestimate the Number of Women**
 2 **Who Will Be Harmed by the Final Rules.**

3 The Departments estimate that between 70,500 to 126,400 women will be affected by the
 4 final rules.²⁴ But the number of individuals at risk of losing coverage is almost certainly much
 5 greater given the Departments’ faulty assumptions.

6 First, the Departments wrongly assume that only those entities that filed litigation or
 7 requested an accommodation, and a trivial number of similar entities, will take advantage of the
 8 expanded exemptions.²⁵ And the Departments presume no publicly-traded entities will take
 9 advantage of the rules.²⁶ On the contrary, by extending the religious exemption to all non-
 10 governmental universities and employers, including publicly-traded companies, the final rules
 11 greatly expand the number of eligible entities. Moreover, some of the original litigating entities
 12 represent multiple, unidentified employers: for example, the Catholic Benefits Association alone
 13 represents more than 1,000 employers.²⁷

14 Second, the Departments also underestimate the likely impact of the “moral” exemption,
 15 under which any university or non-publicly-traded private entity may claim an exemption for
 16

17 _____
 18 <https://powertodecide.org/what-we-do/access/access-birth-control> (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).

19 ²³ See, e.g., Jessie Hellmann, *Trump Administration Rescinds Obama Guidance on Defunding*
 20 *Planned Parenthood*, The Hill (Jan. 19, 2018, 11:15 AM),
 21 [http://thehill.com/policy/healthcare/369723-trump-administration-rescinds-guidance-protecting-](http://thehill.com/policy/healthcare/369723-trump-administration-rescinds-guidance-protecting-planned-parenthoods)
 22 [planned-parenthoods](http://thehill.com/policy/healthcare/369723-trump-administration-rescinds-guidance-protecting-planned-parenthoods); see also Compliance with Statutory Program Integrity Requirements,
 23 HHS-OS-2018-0008, at 113 (proposed May 22, 2018) (to be codified at 42 C.F.R. Part 59)
 24 (proposing revisions to Title X regulations). The proposed Title X rule would redefine “low-
 25 income family” for Title X eligibility to include women who lose contraceptive coverage
 26 because of an employer’s objection. This redefinition illegally defies the plain meaning and
 27 purpose of Title X, and in any event the proposed rule does nothing to ensure Title X providers
 28 actually have the capacity to meet the needs of these additional women.

²⁴ Religious Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at 57,578, 57,581.

²⁵ Religious Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at 57,576–57,578, 57,581; Moral Exemptions, 83 Fed.
 Reg. at 57,625–27.

²⁶ Religious Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at 57,579–81.

²⁷ Catholic Benefits Ass’n, <https://catholicbenefitsassociation.org/> (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).

1 virtually any reason given the vast nature of what could be interpreted as a “moral” objection.²⁸
2 As the district court in Pennsylvania correctly observed about the interim rules, which are
3 identical to the final rules in this respect, “[w]ho determines whether the expressed moral reason
4 is sincere or not or, for that matter, whether it falls within the bounds of morality or is merely a
5 preference choice, is not found within the terms of the Moral Exemption Rule.” *Pennsylvania v.*
6 *Trump*, 281 F. Supp. 3d 553, 577 (E.D. Pa. 2017). The rules also do not require objectors to file
7 a statement of the basis for their objection that could permit oversight.

8 Third, it is also error to assume—as the Departments do—that employees of objecting
9 entities share their employers’ moral or religious objections to contraception.²⁹ Many women of
10 faith and their dependents who rely on objecting entities for health insurance use contraception
11 and will be impacted by loss of contraceptive coverage. More than 99% of sexually experienced
12 women aged 15-44 have used at least one method of contraception at some point regardless of
13 religious affiliation.³⁰ Among sexually experienced Catholic women, 98% have used a method
14 of contraception other than natural family planning; that number is 95% for married Catholic
15 Latinas.³¹ Over 70% of Protestant women use a “highly effective contraceptive method”
16 (including sterilization, IUDs, the pill, and other hormonal methods).³² Of Latina and Latino
17 voters, 86% consider contraception to be preventive health care and 82% do not view
18
19

20 _____
21 ²⁸ See Moral Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at 57,625–28.

22 ²⁹ See Religious Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at 57,563–64, 57,581; Moral Exemptions, 83 Fed.
23 Reg. at 57,626.

24 ³⁰ Kimberly Daniels et al., Ctrs. For Disease Control & Prevention, *62 Nat’l Health Stats. Reps.:
25 Contraceptive Methods Women Have Ever Used: United States, 1982–2010* 8 (2013),
26 <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr062.pdf>.

27 ³¹ Rachel K. Jones & Joerg Dreweke, Guttmacher Inst., *Countering Conventional Wisdom: New
28 Evidence on Religion and Contraceptive Use* 4 (2011),
https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/religion-and-contraceptive-use.pdf;
Catholics for Choice, *The Facts Tell the Story 2014-2015* 5 (2014),
<http://www.catholicsforchoice.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/FactsTelltheStory2014.pdf>.

³² Jones & Dreweke, *supra* note 31, at 5.

1 contraception through a religious lens.³³ Thus, contrary to the Departments’ assertions, many
 2 individuals are likely to lose a vital health benefit under the rules.

3 **II. THE RULES WILL HARM THOSE WHO LOSE COVERAGE BY**
 4 **REINSTATING PRE-ACA COST AND OTHER BARRIERS TO**
 5 **CONTRACEPTION.**

6 The ACA dramatically reduced out-of-pocket expenditures on contraception and related
 7 services, resulting in increased use.³⁴ The final rules threaten to reverse these gains. Without
 8 coverage, women will again face financial, logistical, informational, and administrative barriers
 9 that make it more difficult to use the most appropriate contraceptive method. These changes
 10 will particularly affect women of color, young people, transgender and gender non-conforming
 11 people, and others who face stark health disparities due to systemic barriers to contraceptive and
 12 other reproductive health care.

13 **A. The Rules Will Make Contraception Cost-Prohibitive for Many**
 14 **People.**

15 The Departments claim that contraception is “relatively low cost,”³⁵ but without
 16 insurance coverage, contraception is expensive. Prior to the ACA, women spent between 30%
 17 and 44% of their total out-of-pocket health costs just on contraception.³⁶ A 2009 study found
 18 oral contraception (the pill) costs, on average, \$2,630 over five years, and other very effective
 19 methods such as injectables, transdermal patches, and the vaginal ring, cost women between
 20 \$2,300 and \$2,800 over a five-year period.³⁷ Today, women without insurance can be expected
 21 to spend \$850 annually—or \$4,250 over five years assuming static costs—on oral contraception

22 ³³ Nat’l Latina Inst. for Reproductive Health, *Latina/o Voters’ Views and Experiences Around*
 23 *Reproductive Health* 2 (2018),
 24 http://latinainstitute.org/sites/default/files/NLIRH%20Survey%20Report_F_0.pdf

25 ³⁴ See Snyder, *supra* note 9, at 222.

26 ³⁵ Religious Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at 57,574.

27 ³⁶ Nora V. Becker & Daniel Polsky, *Women Saw Large Decrease in Out-Of-Pocket Spending for*
 28 *Contraceptives After ACA Mandate Removed Cost Sharing*, 34 Health Affairs 1204, 1208
 (2015), available at <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hlthaff.2015.0127>.

³⁷ James Trussell et al., *Erratum to “Cost Effectiveness of Contraceptives in the United States”*
 [Contraception 79 (2009) 5-14], 80 Contraception 229 (2009).

1 and attendant care.³⁸ LARCs—among the most effective contraceptives—carry the highest up-
2 front costs: IUDs can cost up to \$1,300 up front,³⁹ in addition to costs of ongoing care.⁴⁰

3 Cost is a major determinant of whether people obtain needed health care, particularly for
4 individuals with lower incomes.⁴¹ Studies confirm that “[e]ven small increments in cost sharing
5 have been shown to reduce the use of preventive services.”⁴² When finances are strained,
6 women cease using contraception, skip pills, delay filling prescriptions, or purchase fewer packs
7 at once.⁴³ Cost is also a major determinant of contraceptive use by young people: before the
8 ACA, 55% of young women reported experiencing a time when they could not afford
9 contraception consistently.⁴⁴

10 Cost also impacts the choice of contraceptive method. People often use methods that are
11 medically inappropriate or less effective because they cannot afford more appropriate or
12 effective methods with higher out-of-pocket costs.⁴⁵

13
14 ³⁸ Jamila Taylor & Nikita Mhatre, *Contraceptive Coverage Under the Affordable Care Act*, Ctr.
15 for Am. Progress (Oct. 6, 2017, 5:09 PM),
<https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/women/news/2017/10/06/440492/contraceptive-coverage-affordable-care-act/>.

16 ³⁹ Erin Armstrong et al., *Intrauterine Devices and Implants: A Guide to Reimbursement 5*
17 (Regents of U.C. et al. 2d ed. 2015), https://www.nationalfamilyplanning.org/file/documents---reports/LARC_Report_2014_R5_forWeb.pdf;
18 *IUD*, Planned Parenthood
<https://www.plannedparenthood.org/learn/birth-control/iud> (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).

19 ⁴⁰ Such care may include removal or replacement of the IUD or help with complications should
20 any occur.

21 ⁴¹ Adam Sonfield, *The Case for Insurance Coverage of Contraceptive Services and Supplies Without Cost-Sharing*, 14 *Guttmacher Pol’y Rev.* 7, 10 (2011).

22 ⁴² See Inst. of Medicine, *Clinical Preventive Services for Women: Closing the Gaps* 109 (2011)
23 [hereinafter “IOM Rep.”].

24 ⁴³ Guttmacher Inst., *A Real-Time Look at the Impact of the Recession on Women’s Family Planning and Pregnancy Decisions* 5 (2009),
25 https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/recessionfp_1.pdf.

26 ⁴⁴ Zenen Jaimes et al., Generation Progress & Advocates for Youth, *Protecting Birth Control Coverage for Young People* 1 (2015),
27 <http://www.advocatesforyouth.org/storage/advfy/documents/Factsheets/protecting%20birth%20control%20coverage%20factsheet-2-18-15.pdf>.

28 ⁴⁵ Debbie Postlethwaite et al., *A Comparison of Contraceptive Procurement Pre- and Post-*

1 The ACA contraceptive coverage requirement has yielded enormous cost-savings.⁴⁶ The
 2 mean total out-of-pocket expenses for FDA-approved contraceptives decreased approximately
 3 70% following the ACA,⁴⁷ and women saved \$1.4 billion in 2013 on oral contraception alone.⁴⁸
 4 This has corresponded with an increase in use,⁴⁹ particularly of the most effective forms of
 5 contraception. For example, at least one study found that “the removal of the cost barrier to
 6 IUDs and implants has increased their rate of adoption after the ACA.”⁵⁰ The rules will reverse
 7 these critical gains.

8 Notwithstanding the significant overall decrease in out-of-pocket expenditures on
 9 contraception under the ACA, racial and ethnic disparities in access to contraception persist,
 10 including access to the most effective methods. Black, Latina, and AAPI women are less likely
 11 to use prescription contraception than their white peers due to structural barriers, such as
 12 geographically inaccessible providers and inflexible work schedules.⁵¹ In the past two years,
 13

14 *Benefit Change*, 76 *Contraception* 360, 360, 363 (2007) (finding decrease in out-of-pocket costs
 15 of contraception increased use of more effective methods); Guttmacher Inst., *Insurance*
 16 *Coverage of Contraception*, (Dec. 2016), <https://www.guttmacher.org/evidence-you-can-use/insurance-coverage-contraception>.

17 ⁴⁶ Snyder, *supra* note 9, at 222; *see also* Bearek et al., *Changes in Out-Of-Pocket Costs for*
 18 *Hormonal IUDs after Implementation of the Affordable Care Act: An Analysis of Insurance*
 19 *Benefit Inquiries*, 93 *Contraception* 139, 141 (2016) (cost of hormonal IUDs fell to \$0 for most
 20 insured women following ACA).

21 ⁴⁷ A. Law et al., *Are Women Benefiting from the Affordable Care Act? A Real-World Evaluation*
 22 *of the Impact of the Affordable Care Act on Out-of-Pocket Costs for Contraceptives*, 93
 23 *Contraception* 392, 397 (2016).

24 ⁴⁸ Becker & Polsky, *supra* note 36, at 1208.

25 ⁴⁹ Express Scripts, *2015 Drug Trends Report* 118 (2016), [http://lab.express-](http://lab.express-scripts.com/lab/drug-trend-report/~media/e2c9d19240e94fcf893b706e13068750.ashx)
 26 [scripts.com/lab/drug-trend-report/~media/e2c9d19240e94fcf893b706e13068750.ashx](http://lab.express-scripts.com/lab/drug-trend-report/~media/e2c9d19240e94fcf893b706e13068750.ashx)
 27 (reporting that contraceptive use increased 17.2% from 2014-15); Express Scripts, *2016 Drug*
 28 *Trends Report* 24 (2017), [http://lab.express-scripts.com/lab/drug-trend-](http://lab.express-scripts.com/lab/drug-trend-report/~media/29f13dee4e7842d6881b7e034fc0916a.ashx)
 29 [report/~media/29f13dee4e7842d6881b7e034fc0916a.ashx](http://lab.express-scripts.com/lab/drug-trend-report/~media/29f13dee4e7842d6881b7e034fc0916a.ashx) (reporting 3.0% overall increase in
 30 contraceptive use from 2015-16, and 137.6% increase in specialty contraceptives, including
 31 LARCs).

32 ⁵⁰ Snyder, *supra* note 9, at 222.

33 ⁵¹ Stacey McMorrow, Urban Inst., *Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Use of Prescription*
 34 *Contraception: The Role of Insurance Coverage* (forthcoming),

1 four in ten Latina and Latino voters under age 45 (41%) have gone without the contraceptive
 2 method of their choice because of access issues.⁵² Insurance coverage for contraception is an
 3 important factor in reducing these disparities in contraceptive use.⁵³ The rules will exacerbate
 4 existing disparities by inhibiting access to such coverage.

5 **B. The Rules Will Create Logistical, Administrative, and Informational**
 6 **Barriers to Contraception.**

7 The rules will also impose other barriers to contraception, including logistical,
 8 informational, and administrative burdens in navigating the health care system without
 9 employer- or university-sponsored contraceptive coverage.

10 Navigating the health care system is complicated, requiring many resources, such as free
 11 time, regular and unlimited phone and internet access, privacy, transportation, language
 12 comprehension, and ability to read and respond to complex paperwork. It is, therefore,
 13 particularly difficult for individuals with limited English proficiency and for people in low-wage
 14 jobs—disproportionately women of color—who often work long, unpredictable hours without
 15 scheduling flexibility and who lack reliable access to transportation.⁵⁴

16 Many who lose coverage will be forced by cost constraints to navigate switching away
 17 from providers they trust and who know their medical histories. This interruption in continuity
 18 of care poses particular challenges for people of color, people with limited English proficiency,
 19 and LGBTQ people, who already face multiple barriers to obtaining reproductive health
 20 services, including language barriers, a lack of cultural competency among providers, providers’
 21

22 <https://academyhealth.confex.com/academyhealth/2017arm/meetingapp.cgi/Paper/17939>; Jo
 23 Jones et al., Ctrs. For Disease Control & Prevention, *Nat’l Health Statistics Reps.: Current*
 24 *Contraceptive Use in the United States 2006-2010, and Changes in Patterns of Use Since 1995*
 25 *5, 8* (2012), <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr060.pdf>; Christine Dehlendorf et al.,
 26 *Disparities in Family Planning*, 202 *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 214, 216 (2010).

27 ⁵² Nat’l Latina Inst. for Reproductive Health, *supra* note 33, at 2.

28 ⁵³ McMorrow, *supra* note 51; Dehlendorf, *supra* note 51, at 216.

⁵⁴ Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr., *Collateral Damage: Scheduling Challenges for Workers in Low-
 Wage Jobs and Their Consequences* 1-3 (2017), <https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/Collateral-Damage.pdf>.

1 limited geographic availability, and implicit bias and discrimination.⁵⁵ Having to switch from a
 2 trusted provider is particularly consequential for transgender and gender non-conforming people,
 3 who report pervasive provider discrimination and refusals to provide care, cultural insensitivity,
 4 and ignorance of transgender-related care.⁵⁶

5 **III. THE RULES WILL HARM THE HEALTH, AUTONOMY, AND ECONOMIC** 6 **SECURITY OF THOSE WHO LOSE CONTRACEPTIVE COVERAGE.**

7 **A. The Rules Will Harm the Health of Individuals and Families.**

8 By reinstating cost and other barriers to contraception, the rules will harm the health of
 9 individuals and families, particularly those already suffering negative health outcomes for which
 10 access to contraception is critical. Contraception is a vital component of preventive health care:
 11 it combats unintended pregnancy and its attendant health consequences, avoids exacerbating
 12 medical conditions for which pregnancy is contraindicated, and offers standalone health benefits
 13 unrelated to pregnancy.

14 **1. The Rules Place More People at Risk for Unintended Pregnancy** 15 **and Associated Health Risks.**

16 By inhibiting access to contraception, the rules will increase the risk of unintended
 17 pregnancy, which, due to systemic barriers, is already higher for women of color and young
 18 people (including LGBTQ youth).⁵⁷ Unintended pregnancy can have serious health
 19

20 ⁵⁵ See Am. Coll. of Obstetricians & Gynecologists, *Committee Opinion No. 649: Racial &*
 21 *Ethnic Disparities in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 3 (2015), [https://www.acog.org/-](https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co649.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T1849308146)
 22 [/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-](https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co649.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T1849308146)
 23 [Women/co649.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T1849308146](https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co649.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T1849308146); Sandy E. James et al., Nat'l Ctr. for
 Transgender Equality, *The Report of the 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey* 96-99 (2015),
 23 <https://www.transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/USTS-Full-Report-FINAL.PDF>.

24 ⁵⁶ James, *supra* note 55, at 96-99.

25 ⁵⁷ IOM Rep., *supra* note 42, at 103-04; Lawrence B. Finer & Mia R. Zolna, *Shifts in Intended*
 26 *and Unintended Pregnancies in the United States, 2001–2008*, 104 Am. J. Pub. Health S43, S47
 27 (2014); Kashif Syed, Advocates for Youth, *Ensuring Young People's Access to Preventive*
 28 *Services in the Affordable Care Act* 2 (2014),
<http://www.advocatesforyouth.org/storage/advfy/documents/Preventive%20Services%20in%20the%20ACA-11-24-14.pdf>; Lisa L. Lindley & Katrina M. Walsemann, *Sexual Orientation and*
Risk of Pregnancy Among New York City High-School Students, 105 Am. J. Pub. Health 1379,

1 consequences for individuals and their families. People with unplanned pregnancies are more
 2 likely to experience delayed access to prenatal care, leaving potential health complications
 3 unaddressed and increasing the risk of infant mortality, birth defects, low birth weight, and
 4 preterm birth.⁵⁸ Women with unintended pregnancies are also at higher risk for maternal
 5 morbidity and mortality, maternal depression, and physical violence during pregnancy.⁵⁹ The
 6 U.S. has a higher maternal mortality rate than any other high-income country, especially for
 7 Black women.⁶⁰ By creating additional barriers to contraception and preconception care, the
 8 rules threaten to increase rates of unintended pregnancy and related health risks.

9 The Departments question whether the availability of contraceptive coverage without
 10 cost-sharing decreases the incidence of unintended pregnancy.⁶¹ But as the post-ACA research
 11 corroborates, lowering the cost of contraception leads to increased use.⁶² And increased access
 12 to contraception without cost-sharing has been found to result in fewer unintended
 13

14 _____
 15 1383 (2015).

16 ⁵⁸ IOM Rep., *supra* note 42, at 103; *see also* Cassandra Logan et al., Nat'l Campaign to Prevent
 17 Teen & Unplanned Pregnancy, Child Trends, Inc., *The Consequences of Unintended*
 18 *Childbearing: A White Paper* 3-5 (2007),
 19 <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b353/b02ae6cad716a7f64ca48b3edae63544c03e.pdf>.

20 ⁵⁹ IOM Rep., *supra* note 42, at 103; Amy O. Tsui et al., *Family Planning and the Burden of*
 21 *Unintended Pregnancies*, 32 *Epidemiologic Rev.* 152, 165 (2010); Office of Disease Prevention
 22 & Health Promotion, *HealthyPeople 2020: Family Planning*, HealthyPeople.gov,
 23 <https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/family-planning> (last visited Dec.
 24 28, 2018).

25 ⁶⁰ Black Mamas Matter Alliance, *Black Mamas Matter Toolkit Advancing the Right to Safe and*
 26 *Respectful Maternal Health Care* 21 (2018),
 27 [https://www.reproductiverights.org/sites/crr.civicactions.net/files/documents/USPA_BMMA_](https://www.reproductiverights.org/sites/crr.civicactions.net/files/documents/USPA_BMMA_Toolkit_Booklet-Final-Update_Web-Pages.pdf)
 28 [olkit_Booklet-Final-Update_Web-Pages.pdf](https://www.reproductiverights.org/sites/crr.civicactions.net/files/documents/USPA_BMMA_Toolkit_Booklet-Final-Update_Web-Pages.pdf); Renee Montagne & Nina Martin, *Focus On Infants*
During Childbirth Leaves U.S. Moms In Danger, Nat'l Pub. Radio (May 12, 2017, 5:00 AM),
[https://www.npr.org/2017/05/12/527806002/focus-on-infants-during-childbirth-leaves-u-s-](https://www.npr.org/2017/05/12/527806002/focus-on-infants-during-childbirth-leaves-u-s-moms-in-danger)
[moms-in-danger](https://www.npr.org/2017/05/12/527806002/focus-on-infants-during-childbirth-leaves-u-s-moms-in-danger); Guttmacher Inst., *Publicly Funded Family Planning Services in the United*
States 1 (2016),
https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/factsheet/fb_contraceptive_serv_0.pdf.

⁶¹ Religious Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at 57,554–55; Moral Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at 57,611.

⁶² *See supra* notes 46 to 50 and accompanying text.

1 pregnancies.⁶³ Denying contraceptive coverage was found to have resulted in 33 more
2 pregnancies per 1000 women.⁶⁴

3 The Departments also incorrectly assert that harm to women will be mitigated because
4 some employers and universities with objections may voluntarily choose to cover some
5 methods.⁶⁵ But allowing employers or universities to pick and choose covered methods—rather
6 than allowing the users themselves to choose—undermines people’s ability to consistently use
7 the contraceptive that is most appropriate for them, increasing the risk of unintended pregnancy.
8 Inconsistent or incorrect contraceptive use accounts for 41% of unintended pregnancies in the
9 U.S.; non-use accounts for 54%.⁶⁶ Women are more likely to use contraception consistently and
10 correctly when they can choose the method that suits their needs.⁶⁷

11 **2. The Rules Will Undermine Health Benefits from Contraception.**

12 Contraception allows women to delay pregnancy when it is contraindicated and offers
13 several standalone benefits unrelated to pregnancy. Although most women aged 18-44 who use
14 contraception do so to prevent pregnancy (59%), 13% use it solely to manage a medical
15 condition, and 22% use it for both purposes.⁶⁸

16 Contraception is necessary to control medical conditions that are complicated by
17

18 ⁶³ Jeffrey F. Peipert et al., *Preventing Unintended Pregnancies by Providing No-Cost*
19 *Contraception*, 120 *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 1291, 1291 (2012).

20 ⁶⁴ W. Canestaro et al., *Implications of Employer Coverage of Contraception: Cost-Effectiveness*
21 *Analysis of Contraception Coverage Under an Employer Mandate*, 95 *Contraception* 77, 83, 85
(2017).

22 ⁶⁵ See Religious Exemptions, 83 Fed. Reg. at 57,574, 57,575, 57,581.

23 ⁶⁶ Adam Sonfield et al., Guttmacher Inst., *Moving Forward: Family Planning in the Era of*
Health Reform 8 (2014).

24 ⁶⁷ Jennifer J. Frost & Jacqueline E. Darroch, *Factors Associated with Contraceptive Choice and*
25 *Inconsistent Method Use, United States, 2004*, 40 *Persps. on Sexual & Reprod. Health* 94, 99,
101-03 (2008).

26 ⁶⁸ Caroline Rosenzweig et al., Kaiser Family Found., *Women’s Sexual and Reproductive Health*
27 *Services: Key Findings from the 2017 Kaiser Women’s Health Survey* (2018) at 3,
28 [http://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-Womens-Sexual-and-Reproductive-Health-Services-
Key-Findingsfrom-the-2017-Kaiser-Womens-Health-Survey](http://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-Womens-Sexual-and-Reproductive-Health-Services-Key-Findingsfrom-the-2017-Kaiser-Womens-Health-Survey).

1 pregnancy, including diabetes, obesity, pulmonary hypertension, and cyanotic heart disease.⁶⁹
 2 In addition, contraception treats menstrual disorders, reduces menstrual pain, reduces the risks of
 3 certain cancers, such as endometrial and ovarian cancer, and helps protect against pelvic
 4 inflammatory disease.⁷⁰

5 By reinstating cost barriers to some or all contraceptive methods, the rules will aggravate
 6 medical conditions and undermine necessary health benefits.

7 **B. The Rules Will Undermine Individuals’ Autonomy and Control Over**
 8 **Their Reproductive and Personal Lives.**

9 The Supreme Court has recognized that “[t]he ability of women to participate equally in
 10 the economic and social life of the Nation has been facilitated by their ability to control their
 11 reproductive lives.” *Planned Parenthood of Se. Pa. v. Casey*, 505 U.S. 833, 856 (1992); *see*
 12 *also Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479, 485-86 (1965). Women also report that the ability
 13 to plan their lives is a main reason for their use of contraception.⁷¹

14 Contraception and the freedom it affords are particularly important for communities with
 15 histories of subjection to the control of others in their sexual and reproductive lives. During
 16 slavery, when Black women were the legal chattel of their masters, they had no ability to resist
 17 unwanted sex or childbearing.⁷² Slavery gave way to twentieth century policies and practices
 18 that encouraged and coerced women of color, individuals with disabilities, and so-called “sexual
 19 deviants,” to refrain from reproduction; these policies culminated in forced sterilizations without
 20 informed consent.⁷³ Affordable access to the full range of contraceptive options empowers
 21 individuals to exercise control over their reproductive futures.

22
 23 ⁶⁹ IOM Rep., *supra* note 42, at 103-04.

24 ⁷⁰ *Id.* at 107.

25 ⁷¹ Jennifer J. Frost & Laura Duberstein Lindberg, *Reasons for Using Contraception: Perspectives of US Women Seeking Care at Specialized Family Planning Clinics*, 87
 26 *Contraception* 465, 467, 470 (2013).

27 ⁷² Deborah Gray White, *Ar’n’t I a Woman?: Female Slaves in the Plantation South* 68 (W.W.
 28 Norton & Co. ed., 1999).

⁷³ Carole Joffe & Willie J. Parker, *Race, Reproductive Politics and Reproductive Health Care in*

1 Contraception is also critical to the autonomy of transgender men and gender non-
 2 conforming individuals. Contraception permits individuals to align their gender identity with
 3 their physiology by enabling them to prevent pregnancy and control menstruation.⁷⁴ Social
 4 exclusion and bias in healthcare already contribute to transgender men experiencing higher
 5 incidence of depression, anxiety, and suicide,⁷⁵ and for some, pregnancy and menstruation can
 6 increase experiences of gender dysphoria—the distress resulting from one’s physical body not
 7 aligning with one’s sense of self.⁷⁶

8 Finally, contraception is vital for survivors of rape and interpersonal violence.⁷⁷ Access
 9 to emergency contraception without cost-sharing empowers sexual assault survivors to prevent
 10 unwanted pregnancy, and is particularly critical for students given the high rate of sexual assault
 11 on college campuses.⁷⁸ The shot and LARCs enable women to prevent pregnancy with reduced
 12 risk of detection by or interference from partners.⁷⁹ Without these options, pregnancy can
 13 entrench a woman in an abusive relationship, endangering the woman, her pregnancy, and her
 14

15 *the Contemporary United States*, 86 *Contraception* 1, 1 (2012); see also Proud Heritage: People,
 16 Issues, and Documents of the LGBT Experience, Vol. 2 205 (Chuck Stewart, ed. 2015); Elena R.
 17 Gutiérrez, *Fertile Matters: the Politics of Mexican-Origin Women’s Reproduction* 35-54 (2008);
 18 *Buck v. Bell*, 274 U.S. 200, 205 (1927) (upholding law permitting coerced sterilization of
 19 “mentally defective” people).

20 ⁷⁴ Juno Obedin-Maliver & Harvey J. Makadon, *Transgender Men and Pregnancy*, 9 *Obstetric*
 21 *Med.* 4, 6 (2015).

22 ⁷⁵ SL Budge et al., *Anxiety and Depression in Transgender Individuals: The Roles of Transition*
 23 *Status, Loss, Social Support, and Coping*, 81 *J. Consult Clin. Psych.* 545 (2013); Fatima Saleem
 24 & Syed W. Rizvi, *Transgender Associations and Possible Etiology: A Literature Review*, 9
 25 *Cureus* 1, 2 (2017) (“Forty-one % of [transgender individuals in the U.S.] reported attempting
 26 suicide as compared to 1.6% of the general population.”).

27 ⁷⁶ Obedin-Maliver & Makadon, *supra* note 74, at 6; Saleem & Rizvi, *supra* note 75, at 1.

28 ⁷⁷ Am. Coll. of Obstetricians & Gynecologists, *Committee Opinion No. 554, Reproductive and*
Sexual Coercion 2-3 (2013), <https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Health-Care-for-Underserved-Women/co554.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20180521T2206346190>
 [hereinafter “ACOG No. 554”].

⁷⁸ Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr., *Sexual Harassment & Assault in Schools*,
<https://nwlc.org/issue/sexual-harassment-assault-in-schools/> (last visited Dec. 28, 2018).

⁷⁹ ACOG No. 554, *supra* note 77, at 2-3.

1 children. Abusive partners often engage in “reproductive coercion” behaviors to promote
 2 unwanted pregnancy, including interfering with contraception or abortion.⁸⁰ By impeding their
 3 access to contraceptive methods less susceptible to interference, the rules harm women’s ability
 4 to resist such coercion.⁸¹

5 **C. The Rules Undermine Individuals’ Economic Security.**

6 The rules will thwart people’s ability to plan, delay, space, and limit pregnancies as is
 7 best for them, thereby undermining their ability to participate equally in society and further their
 8 educational and career goals.

9 ***1. Access to Contraception Provides Life-Long Economic Benefits***
 10 ***to Women, Families, and Society.***

11 Access to contraception has life-long economic benefits: it enables women to complete
 12 high school and attain higher levels of education, improves their earnings and labor force
 13 participation, and secures their economic independence.⁸² The availability of the oral
 14 contraceptive pill alone is associated with roughly one-third of the total wage gains for women
 15 born from the mid-1940s to early 1950s.⁸³ Access to oral contraceptives has improved women’s
 16 educational attainment,⁸⁴ which in turn has caused large increases in women’s participation in
 17
 18
 19
 20

21 ⁸⁰ *Id.* at 1-2; Elizabeth Miller et al., *Reproductive Coercion: Connecting the Dots Between*
 22 *Partner Violence and Unintended Pregnancy*, 81 *Contraception* 457, 457–58 (2010).

23 ⁸¹ ACOG No. 554, *supra* note 77, at 2-3.

24 ⁸² Adam Sonfield et al., Guttmacher Inst., *The Social and Economic Benefits of Women’s Ability*
 25 *to Determine Whether and When to Have Children* 7-8 (2013),
https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/social-economic-benefits.pdf.

26 ⁸³ Martha J. Bailey et al., *The Opt-in Revolution? Contraception and the Gender Gap in Wages*,
 27 4 *Am. Econ. J. Appl. Econ.* 225, 241 (2012),
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3684076/>.

28 ⁸⁴ Heinrich H. Hock, *The Pill and the College Attainment of American Women and Men* 19 (Fla.
 St. Univ., Working Paper 2007).

1 law, medicine, and other professions.⁸⁵ While wage disparities persist, contraception has helped
2 advance gender equality by reducing the gap.⁸⁶

3 The Departments are well aware of these significant benefits. In previously-issued rules,
4 they explained that before the ACA, disparities in health coverage “place[d] women in the
5 workforce at a disadvantage compared to their male co-workers,” that “[r]esearchers have shown
6 that access to contraception improves the social and economic status of women,” and that the
7 ACA’s contraceptive coverage requirement “furthers the goal of eliminating this disparity by
8 allowing women to achieve equal status as healthy and productive members of the job force.”⁸⁷

9 By inhibiting access to contraception, the rules will threaten the economic security and
10 advancement of individuals, families, and society.

11 **2. The Rules Will Exacerbate Economic and Social Disparities by**
12 **Impeding Access to Contraception.**

13 The rules will most jeopardize the economic security of those facing systemic barriers to
14 economic advancement, forcing women with limited means into an impossible situation: they
15 will have less ability to absorb the cost of an unintended pregnancy, but will be more at risk for
16 it due to greater difficulty affording contraception.

17 Unplanned pregnancy can entrench economic hardship. Unplanned births reduce labor
18 force participation by as much as 25%.⁸⁸ The ability to avoid unplanned pregnancy is especially
19 important for women in low-wage jobs, who are less likely to have parental leave or predictable
20 and flexible work schedules.⁸⁹ Many women in low-wage jobs who become pregnant are denied
21 pregnancy accommodations and face workplace discrimination; some are forced to quit, are

22 _____
23 ⁸⁵ Claudia Goldin & Lawrence F. Katz, *The Power of the Pill: Oral Contraceptives and*
Women’s Career and Marriage Decisions, 110 J. Pol. Econ. 730, 749 (2002).

24 ⁸⁶ Sonfield, *supra* note 82, at 14.

25 ⁸⁷ ACA Coverage, 77 Fed. Reg. at 8,725, 8,728.

26 ⁸⁸ Ana Nuevo Chiquero, *The Labor Force Effects of Unplanned Childbearing*, (Boston Univ.,
27 Job Market Paper Nov. 2010),
http://www.unavarra.es/digitalAssets/141/141311_100000Paper_Ana_Nuevo_Chiquero.pdf.

28 ⁸⁹ Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr., *supra* note 54, at 1, 4.

1 fired, or are pushed into unpaid leave.⁹⁰ Nearly 70% of those holding jobs that pay less than \$10
 2 per hour are women, and a disproportionate number of women in low-wage jobs are women of
 3 color.⁹¹ Women of color also experience greater wage disparities than white women: among
 4 full-time workers, Latina women make only 54¢ for every dollar paid to white men; that number
 5 is 57¢ for Native American women, 63¢ for Black women, and as low as 51¢ and 56¢ for AAPI
 6 women in some ethnic subgroups.⁹²

CONCLUSION

8 The final rules will cause substantial and irreparable harm to individuals in the Plaintiff
 9 States and nationwide, and particularly to those facing multiple and intersecting forms of
 10 discrimination, for the same reasons as the interim final rules. Accordingly, the Court should
 11 grant Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: January 7, 2019

s/ Katie Glynn

Katie Glynn, Esq. (Attorney No. 300524)
LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP
 390 Lytton Avenue
 Palo Alto, California 94301
 Telephone: 650-433-5800
 Fax: 650-328-2799
 kglynn@lowenstein.com

21 ⁹⁰ Nat'l Women's Law Ctr., *It Shouldn't Be a Heavy Lift: Fair Treatment for Pregnant Workers*
 22 (2016), [https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/pregnant_workers.pdf)
 23 [content/uploads/2015/08/pregnant_workers.pdf](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/pregnant_workers.pdf); Nat'l Women's Law Ctr., *Equal Pay for Asian*
 24 *and Pacific Islander Women* (2018), [https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Asian-Women-Equal-Pay-Feb-2018.pdf)
 25 [content/uploads/2018/02/Asian-Women-Equal-Pay-Feb-2018.pdf](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Asian-Women-Equal-Pay-Feb-2018.pdf).

26 ⁹¹ Tucker & Patrick, *supra* note 12, at 1.

27 ⁹² Nat'l Women's Law Ctr., *FAQs About the Wage Gap* (2017), [https://nwlc-](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/FAQ-About-the-Wage-Gap-2017.pdf)
 28 [ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/FAQ-About-the-Wage-Gap-](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/FAQ-About-the-Wage-Gap-2017.pdf)
 29 [2017.pdf](https://nwlc-ciw49tixgw5lbab.stackpathdns.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/FAQ-About-the-Wage-Gap-2017.pdf); NAPAWF calculations from U.S. Census Bureau, *2015 ACS 1-Year Estimates: Table*
 30 *S0201, Selected Population Profile in the United States*, [https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/15_1YR/S0201//popgroup~031](https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/15_1YR/S0201/popgroup~031) (last
 31 visited Dec. 28, 2018).

1 Jeffrey Blumenfeld, Esq. (*pro hac vice*
2 forthcoming)

3 **LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP**

4 2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

5 Washington, DC 20037

6 Telephone: (202) 753-3810

7 Fax: 212-262-7402

8 jblumenfeld@lowenstein.com

9 *Of Counsel*

10 Fatima Goss Graves

11 Gretchen Borchelt

12 Sunu Chandy

13 Michelle Banker

14 **NATIONAL WOMEN'S
15 LAW CENTER**

16 11 Dupont Circle NW, Suite 800

17 Washington, DC 20036

18 Sequoia Ayala

19 Jill Heaviside

20 **SISTERLOVE, INC.**

21 P.O. Box 10558

22 Atlanta, GA 30310-1731

23 Jane Liu

24 **NATIONAL ASIAN PACIFIC**

25 **AMERICAN WOMEN'S FORUM**

26 1730 Rhode Island Ave, NW

27 Suite 210

28 Washington, DC 20036

17 *(Amici appreciate the assistance of Nina Serrienne at the National Latina Institute for
18 Reproductive Health for her role in the preparation of this brief)*

1 **APPENDIX A:**

2 **STATEMENTS OF INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE**

3 **Advocates for Youth** partners with youth leaders, adult allies, and youth-serving
4 organizations to advocate for policies and champion programs that recognize young people's
5 rights to honest sexual health services; and the resources and opportunities necessary to create
6 sexual health equity for all youth. Young people have the right to lead healthy lives, which
7 includes access to the resources and tools necessary to make healthy decisions about their lives.
8 The Affordable Care Act increased access to contraception for young people and Advocates for
9 Youth seeks to ensure that young people continue to have access to the wide range of
10 reproductive and sexual health care services they need.

11 **Americans United for Separation of Church and State** is a national, nonsectarian
12 public-interest organization that is committed to ensuring religious freedom and protecting
13 fundamental rights, including reproductive rights, for all Americans by safeguarding the
14 constitutional principle of church–state separation. Americans United has long supported legal
15 exemptions that reasonably accommodate religious practice, but we oppose religious exemptions
16 that unduly harm third parties or favor a religious practice not actually burdened by the
17 government. Accordingly, Americans United regularly represents parties or acts as an *amicus*
18 *curiae* in cases addressing the Affordable Care Act's contraceptive-coverage requirement.

19 **The Asian & Pacific Islander American Health Forum (APIAHF)** influences policy,
20 mobilizes communities, and strengthens programs and organizations to improve the health of
21 over 20 million Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders (AAs and NHPs).
22 APIAHF has supported and defended the Affordable Care Act's access provisions in two amicus
23 briefs before the U.S. Supreme Court. Access to contraception is critical to the health and
24 economic security of AA and NHPI women who experience a number of barriers to good health,
25 including inability to afford health care and quality coverage, language and immigration barriers.

26 **Black Women Birthing Justice** is a collective of African-American, African, Caribbean
27 and multiracial women who are committed to transforming birthing experiences for Black
28 women and transfolks. Our vision is that that every pregnant person should have an empowering

1 birthing experience, free of unnecessary medical interventions. We aim to enhance Black
2 women's faith in their strength and resilience, and empower them to make healthy choices and
3 to stand up for the pregnancy and birth experience they envision. We believe that access to
4 contraception is vital to reproductive justice. Part of our mission is to advocate for the right of
5 low-income women and women on welfare to make healthy and non-coerced decisions about
6 when and whether to get pregnant. We are signing on to this amicus brief because we believe
7 that all women deserve accessible, no cost contraceptive coverage as outlined in the Affordable
8 Care Act.

9 The **Center on Reproductive Rights and Justice at UC Berkeley** seeks to realize
10 reproductive rights and advance reproductive justice by bolstering law and policy advocacy
11 efforts, furthering scholarship, and influencing academic and public discourse. Our work is
12 guided by the belief that all people deserve the social, economic, political, and legal conditions
13 necessary to make genuine decisions about reproduction.

14 Latinas continue to face disparities in access to contraception and other critical
15 reproductive healthcare. The **Colorado Organization for Latina Opportunity and**
16 **Reproductive Rights (COLOR)** believes that we need to do more to close the gaps and ensure
17 that people have the services they need to manage their health and plan their families.

18 The **Desiree Alliance** positions ourselves in the belief that reproductive access and care
19 must be made available to all those who seek such services. Far too long government has
20 regulated reproductive rights/health/justice over those who seek preventative care of their
21 bodies. Religious freedom under the guise of applicable law should never be deterrent in
22 providing services that renders choice over legal regulation. Third party gateways should never
23 interfere with healthcare options, and must not be allowed to withhold any healthcare choices
24 decided by consenting and informed persons regardless of religious belief, gender, race, identity,
25 and citizenship status.

26 Founded in 1974, **Equal Rights Advocates (ERA)** is a national non-profit legal
27 advocacy organization dedicated to protecting and expanding economic and educational access
28 and opportunities for women and girls. In concert with our commitment to securing gender

1 equity in the workplace and in schools, ERA seeks to preserve women's right to reproductive
2 choice and protect women's access to health care, including safe, legal contraception and
3 abortion. In addition to litigating cases on behalf of workers and students and providing free
4 legal advice and counseling to hundreds of women each year, ERA has participated in numerous
5 amicus briefs in cases affecting the rights of women and girls, such as this right, and the long-
6 term economic impacts of limited and inequitable access to opportunity and care for
7 intersectional populations.

8 **EverThrive Illinois (EverThrive IL)** works to improve the health of women, children,
9 and families over the lifespan by centering the values of health equity, diverse voices, and strong
10 partnerships. EverThrive IL focuses on health issues of key importance to women, children, and
11 their families including child and adolescent health, immunizations, maternal and infant
12 mortality, and health reform. Because access to safe and voluntary contraception is a human
13 right as declared by the United Nations, can improve the quality of life for people and their
14 families, and is central to alleviating gender-based violence, EverThrive IL is committed to
15 upholding and advocating for the ACA contraceptive-coverage requirement.

16 **Gender Justice** is a nonprofit legal and policy advocacy organization based in the
17 Midwest that is committed to the eradication of gender barriers through impact litigation, policy
18 advocacy, and education. As part of its litigation program, Gender Justice represents individuals
19 and provides legal advocacy as amicus curiae in cases involving issues of gender discrimination.
20 Gender Justice has an interest in ensuring that the contraceptive coverage provisions of the
21 Affordable Care Act are implemented to eliminate gender gaps in access to health care.

22 **Ibis Reproductive Health** is a global research and advocacy organization driving
23 change through bold, rigorous research and principled partnerships that advance sexual and
24 reproductive autonomy, choices, and health worldwide. We believe that research can catalyze
25 change when the entire research process is viewed as an opportunity to shift power, is
26 undertaken in partnership with the communities most affected, and includes a focus on how data
27 can be most effectively used to make change. We focus on increasing access to quality abortion
28 care, transforming access to abortion and contraception through technology and service

1 innovations, and expanding comprehensive sexual and reproductive health information and
2 services.

3 **In Our Own Voice: National Black Women's Reproductive Justice Agenda** is a
4 national-state partnership with eight Black women's Reproductive Justice organizations: The
5 Afiya Center, Black Women for Wellness, Black Women's Health Imperative, New Voices for
6 Reproductive Justice, SisterLove, Inc., SisterReach, SPARK Reproductive Justice NOW, and
7 Women with a Vision. In Our Own Voice is a national Reproductive Justice organization
8 focused on lifting up the voices of Black women leaders on national, regional, and state policies
9 that impact the lives of Black women and girls. Access to contraception is critical to ensuring
10 that all people have the human right to control our bodies, our sexuality, our gender, and our
11 reproduction. In Our Own Voice is committed to engaging in advocacy that helps secure full
12 access to contraceptive coverage as intended by the Affordable Care Act.
13
14

15 **Jobs With Justice** is dedicated to expanding the ability for men and women to come
16 together to improve their workplaces, their communities and their lives. By leading strategic
17 campaigns, changing the conversation, and mobilizing labor, community, student, and faith
18 voices at the national and local levels with our network of coalitions, we create innovative
19 solutions to the challenges faced by working people today. We sign on to this brief because
20 women, not their employers and not the government, should be able to control their bodies.

21 The **Maine Women's Lobby** advocates for the well-being of Maine women and girls,
22 with a focus on freedom from violence, freedom from discrimination, access to health care,
23 including reproductive health care, and economic security. The ability to control her
24 reproduction is essential to a woman's well-being.

25 **NARAL Pro-Choice America** is a national advocacy organization, dedicated since 1969
26 to supporting and protecting, as a fundamental right and value, a woman's freedom to make
27 personal decisions regarding the full range of reproductive choices through education,
28 organizing, and influencing public policy. NARAL Pro-Choice America works to guarantee

1 every woman the right to make personal decisions regarding the full range of reproductive
2 choices. Ensuring that people can get affordable birth control and have the ability to decide
3 whether, when, and with whom to start or expand their family is crucial to that mission.

4 **NARAL Pro-Choice Oregon** is the leading grassroots pro-choice advocacy organization
5 in Oregon. NARAL Pro-Choice Oregon develops and sustains a constituency that uses the
6 political process to guarantee every person who can become pregnant the right to make personal
7 decisions regarding the full range of reproductive choices, including preventing unintended
8 pregnancy, bearing healthy children, and choosing legal abortion. Because access to
9 contraception is integral to reproductive healthcare and the ability of individuals to decide
10 whether and when to become a parent, NARAL Pro-Choice Oregon seeks to ensure that women
11 receive full benefits of no-cost contraceptive coverage as intended by the Affordable Care Act.

12 **The National Advocates for Pregnant Women (NAPW)** is a non-profit organization
13 working to defend and advance the human and civil rights, health and welfare of pregnant and
14 parenting women and people with the capacity for pregnancy. NAPW defends women through
15 legal representation and support in cases throughout the United States, and advocates for
16 policies that protect the health and welfare of pregnant and parenting people and their families.

17 The **National Asian Pacific American Women's Forum (NAPAWF)** is the only
18 national, multi-issue Asian American and Pacific Islander ("AAPI") women's organization in
19 the country. NAPAWF's mission is to build a movement to advance social justice and human
20 rights for AAPI women, girls, and transgender and gender non-conforming people. NAPAWF
21 approaches all of its work through a reproductive justice framework that seeks for all members
22 of the AAPI community to have the economic, social, and political power to make their own
23 decisions regarding their bodies, families, and communities. Its work includes advocating for
24 the reproductive health care needs of AAPI women and ensuring AAPI women's access to
25 reproductive health care services. Legal and institutional barriers to reproductive health care
26 disproportionately impact women of color, low-income women, and other marginalized groups.
27 Without legal protection to ensure meaningful, affordable access to basic reproductive health
28 care, including contraception, many AAPI women are left without the crucial health and family

1 planning services that they need to be able to make their own decisions regarding their bodies,
2 families, and communities. Consequently, NAPAWF has a significant interest in ensuring that
3 all people, regardless of their economic circumstances, immigration status, race, gender, sexual
4 orientation, or other social factors, have affordable access to safe and effective contraception.

5 **The National Center for Law and Economic Justice** advances the cause of economic
6 justice for low-income families, individuals, and communities. We have worked with low-
7 income communities fighting the systemic causes of poverty for more than 50 years. In our
8 work, we often combat injustice and fundamental unfairness in government programs, including
9 those that provide access to health care.

10 **The National Center for Transgender Equality** is a national social justice organization
11 working for life-saving change for the over 1.5 million transgender Americans and their
12 families. NCTE has seen the harmful impact that discrimination in health care settings has on
13 transgender people and their loved ones, including discrimination based on religious or moral
14 disapproval of who transgender people are, how they live their lives, and their reproductive
15 choices. Discrimination against transgender people in health care—whether it is being turned
16 away from a doctor’s office, being denied access to or coverage of basic care, or being
17 mistreated and degraded simply because of one’s transgender status—is widespread and creates
18 significant barriers to care, including contraceptive care. NCTE works to ensure that
19 transgender people and other vulnerable communities are protected from discrimination in
20 health care and other settings and have autonomy over their bodies and health care needs.

21 Founded in 1899, the **National Consumers League (NCL)** is America’s pioneering
22 non-profit consumer advocacy organization. For nearly 120 years, NCL has worked to ensure
23 consumers’ access to quality, affordable healthcare. As part of our mission, NCL advocated for
24 passage of the Women’s Preventive Services provisions of the Affordable Care Act, including
25 coverage of contraception with no cost-sharing. NCL is committed to ensuring that access to
26 no-cost contraceptive coverage – a necessary component of basic health care for women – is
27 protected.

28 **The National Institute for Reproductive Health (NIRH)** is a non-profit advocacy

1 organization working to build a society in which everyone has the freedom and ability to control
2 their reproductive and sexual lives. NIRH promotes its mission by galvanizing public support for
3 access to reproductive health care, including abortion and contraception, and supporting public
4 policy that ensures that women have timely, affordable access to the full range of reproductive
5 health care in their communities.
6

7 **The National Latina Institute for Reproductive Health (NLIRH)** is the only national
8 reproductive justice organization dedicated to advance health, dignity, and justice for 28 million
9 Latinas, their families, and communities in the United States. Through leadership development,
10 community mobilization, policy advocacy, and strategic communications, NLIRH works to
11 ensure that all Latinas are informed about the full range of options for safe and effective forms
12 of contraception and family planning. NLIRH believes that affordable access to quality
13 contraception and family planning is essential to ensuring that all people, regardless of age or
14 gender identity, can shape their lives and futures.

15 Since 1973, the **National LGBTQ Task Force** has worked to build power, take action,
16 and create change to achieve freedom and justice for (LGBTQ) people and their families. As a
17 progressive social justice organization, the Task Force works toward a society that values and
18 respects the diversity of human expression and identity and achieves equity for all.

19 The **National Network to End Domestic Violence (NNEDV)** is a not-for-profit
20 organization incorporated in the District of Columbia in 1994 (www.nnedv.org) to end domestic
21 violence. As a network of the 56 state and territorial domestic violence and dual domestic
22 violence sexual assault Coalitions and their over 2,000 member programs, NNEDV serves as the
23 national voice of millions women, children and men victimized by domestic violence. NNEDV
24 is committed to the wide availability of reproductive health care, including low-cost and
25 confidential access to birth control. This is a critical need for survivors of domestic violence to
26 protect their health and safety.

27 The **National Organization for Women Foundation (NOW Foundation)** is a
28 501(c)(3) entity affiliated with the National Organization for Women, the largest grassroots

1 feminist activist organization in the United States with chapters in every state and the District of
2 Columbia. NOW Foundation is committed to advancing equal opportunity, among other
3 objectives, and works to ensure that all women have access to the full range of reproductive
4 health care.

5 **The National Partnership for Women & Families (National Partnership)**, formerly
6 the Women's Legal Defense Fund, is a national advocacy organization that develops and
7 promotes policies to help women achieve equal opportunity, quality health care, and economic
8 security for themselves and their families. Since its founding in 1971, the National Partnership
9 has worked to advance women's health, reproductive rights, and equal employment
10 opportunities through several means, including by challenging discriminatory policies in the
11 courts.

12 **The National Women's Health Network ("NWHN")** improves the health of all
13 women by influencing public policy and providing health information to support decision-
14 making by individual consumers. Founded in 1975 to give women a greater voice within the
15 health care system, NWHN aspires to create systems guided by social justice that reflect the
16 needs of women in all their diversities. NWHN is committed to ensuring that women have self-
17 determination in all aspects of their reproductive and sexual health and establishing universal
18 access to health care. NWHN is a membership-based organization supported by thousands of
19 individuals and organizations nationwide.

20 **The National Women's Law Center (the Center)** is a non-profit legal advocacy
21 organization dedicated to the advancement and protection of women's legal rights and
22 opportunities since its founding in 1972. The Center focuses on issues of key importance to
23 women and their families, including economic security, employment, education, health, and
24 reproductive rights, with special attention to the needs of low-income women and those who
25 face multiple and intersecting forms of discrimination. Because access to contraception is of
26 tremendous significance to women's health, equality, and economic security, the Center seeks to
27 ensure that women receive the full benefits of seamless access to contraceptive coverage without
28

1 cost-sharing as intended by the Affordable Care Act and has participated as amicus in numerous
2 cases that affect this right.

3 **New Voices for Reproductive Justice** is a Human Rights and Reproductive Justice
4 advocacy organization with a mission to build a social change movement dedicated to the full
5 health and well-being of Black women, femmes, and girls in Pennsylvania and Ohio. New
6 Voices defines Reproductive Justice as the human right of all people to have full agency over
7 their bodies, gender identity and expression, sexuality, work, reproduction and the ability to
8 form families. Since 2004, the organization has served over 75,000 women of color and
9 LGBTQIA+ people of color through community organizing, grassroots activism, civic
10 engagement, youth mentorship, leadership development, culture change, public policy advocacy,
11 and political education. In November of 2017, New Voices was instrumental in the passage of a
12 Will of Council in the City of Pittsburgh calling on state and federal officials to ensure equitable
13 access to a full range of reproductive health services, including contraception. This call to action
14 exemplifies crucial recognition of the fact that unhindered access to comprehensive reproductive
15 healthcare is fundamental to the health and well-being of our families and communities. New
16 Voices stands in staunch opposition to discriminatory laws, policies, rules, and actions that deny
17 people access to contraception. These barriers disproportionately harm women of color, gender
18 nonconforming people and low-income women. All people should have access to a full range of
19 reproductive health care, including contraceptive coverage through health insurance, free from
20 outside interference.

21 **Nurses for Sexual and Reproductive Health** provides students, nurses and midwives
22 with education and resources to become skilled care providers and social change agents in
23 sexual and reproductive health and justice. As providers, we know healthcare coverage is
24 essential to our patients' ability to access safe and compassionate care. We also know that
25 contraception is a part of sexual and reproductive care, which we assert is vital to the health and
26 well-being of our patients.

27 **The Oklahoma Coalition for Reproductive Justice**, founded as a 501(c)4 in 2010, is a
28 statewide grassroots coalition of organizations and individuals focusing on the advancement of

1 reproductive health, rights and justice in Oklahoma. OCRJ peruses its mission through
2 legislative advocacy, community outreach and education, and litigation. We believe that
3 reproductive justice includes the right to have or not to have a child and respect for families in
4 all their forms. It supports access to sexual education, contraception, abortion care and
5 pregnancy care as well as to the resources needed to raise children in safe and healthy
6 circumstances, with good schools and healthcare and other elements necessary for bright futures
7 regardless of immigration status. It encompasses respect for all individuals, their partners and
8 families, and for sexuality and for gender differences.

9 **People For the American Way Foundation (PFAWF)** is a nonpartisan civic
10 organization established to promote and protect civil and constitutional rights, including
11 religious liberty and reproductive choice. Founded in 1981 by a group of civic, educational, and
12 religious leaders, PFAWF now has hundreds of thousands of members nationwide. Over its
13 history, PFAWF has conducted extensive education, outreach, litigation, and other activities to
14 promote these values. PFAWF strongly supports the principle of the Free Exercise Clause of the
15 First Amendment as a shield for the free exercise of religion, protecting individuals of all faiths.
16 PFAWF is concerned, however, about efforts, such as with the Administration's final rules in
17 this case, to transform this important shield into a sword to unduly harm others. This is
18 particularly problematic when the effort is to obtain exemptions based on religion or moral
19 beliefs that harm women's ability to obtain crucial reproductive health care coverage, as in this
20 case.

21 **Population Connection** is a grassroots non-profit organization committed to ensuring
22 that every woman and family has access to the full range of contraceptive methods as a
23 preventive service as intended by the Affordable Care Act.

24 **Raising Women's Voices for the Health Care We Need ("RWV")** is a national
25 initiative working to ensure that the health care needs of women and families are addressed as
26 the Affordable Care Act is implemented. It has a diverse network of thirty grassroots health
27 advocacy organizations in twenty-nine states. RWV has a special mission of engaging women
28 who are not often invited into health policy discussions: women of color, low-income women,

1 immigrant women, young women, and members of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and
2 queer community.

3 The **Reproductive Health Access Project** is a national nonprofit organization dedicated
4 to training and supporting clinicians to make reproductive health care accessible to everyone,
5 everywhere in the United States. We focus on three key areas: abortion, contraception, and
6 management of early pregnancy loss. Our work focuses on integrating full-spectrum
7 reproductive health care in primary care settings and we are guided by the belief that everyone
8 should be able to access basic health care, including contraceptive care, from their primary care
9 clinician.

10 The **Sargent Shriver National Center on Poverty Law** (Shriver Center) has a vision of
11 a nation free from poverty with justice, equity and opportunity for all. The Shriver Center
12 provides national leadership to promote justice and improve the lives and opportunities of
13 people with low income, by advancing laws and policies, through litigation and policy
14 advocacy, to achieve justice for our clients. The Shriver Center is committed to the health and
15 economic security and advancement of women and recognizes the importance of access to
16 contraception to achieve those ends. The Shriver Center seeks to ensure that women receive the
17 full benefits of seamless access to no-cost contraceptive coverage as intended by the Affordable
18 Care Act.

19 The **Sexuality Information and Education Council of the United States (SIECUS)**
20 has served as the national voice for sex education, sexual health, and sexual rights for over 50
21 years. SIECUS asserts that sexuality is a fundamental part of being human, one worthy of
22 dignity and respect. We advocate for the rights of all people to accurate information,
23 comprehensive sexuality education, and the full spectrum of sexual and reproductive health
24 services. SIECUS works to create a world that ensures social justice inclusive of sexual and
25 reproductive rights, and we view comprehensive sexuality education as a vehicle for social
26 change. SIECUS envisions an equitable nation where all people receive comprehensive sexuality
27 education and quality sexual and reproductive health services affirming their identities, thereby
28 ensuring their lifelong health and well-being. Specifically, access to contraceptive care is vital to

1 SIECUS's mission, and SIECUS has participated in several amicus briefs impacting the right to
2 contraceptive coverage.

3 Founded in July 1989, **SisterLove, Inc.** is an HIV/AIDS and reproductive justice
4 nonprofit service organization focusing on women, particularly women of African descent.
5 SisterLove's mission is to eradicate the adverse impact of HIV/AIDS and other sexual and
6 reproductive oppressions upon all women, their families, and their communities in the United
7 States and worldwide through education, prevention, support, and human rights advocacy. To
8 realize this mission, SisterLove engages in advocacy, reproductive health education, and
9 prevention. SisterLove seeks to educate and empower youth and women of color to influence
10 the laws and policies that disparately impact them.

11 **SisterReach**, founded October 2011, is a Memphis, TN based grassroots 501(c)(3) non-
12 profit supporting the reproductive autonomy of women and teens of color, poor and rural
13 women, LGBT+ and gender non-conforming people and their families through the framework of
14 Reproductive Justice. Our mission is to empower our base to lead healthy lives, raise healthy
15 families and live in healthy communities. We provide comprehensive reproductive and sexual
16 health education to marginalized women, teens and gender non-conforming people, and
17 advocate on the local, state and national levels for public policies which support the reproductive
18 health and rights of all women and youth.

19 Women of color do not need additional obstacles to obtaining the care we need to take
20 care of ourselves and our families. We trust Black women to make our own decisions.

21 **SisterSong: National Women of Color Reproductive Justice Collective** will speak out about
22 any attempts to push important services out of reach.

23 **URGE: Unite for Reproductive & Gender Equity (URGE)** is a non-profit grassroots
24 advocacy organization that works to mobilize young people through a reproductive justice
25 framework. URGE builds infrastructure through campus chapters and city activist networks,
26 where we invite individuals to discover their own power and transform it into action. URGE
27 members educate their communities and advocate for local, state, and national policies around
28 issues of reproductive justice and sexual health.

1 The **Women's Institute for Freedom of the Press** is a non-profit media democracy
2 organization dedicated to the advancement and protection of women's rights and voices since its
3 founding in 1972. WIFP focuses on issues of importance to women and all those who do not
4 have full rights. Without control over their health and well-being, women cannot fully
5 participate in democracy. Women need access to no-cost contraceptive coverage as intended by
6 the Affordable Care Act and therefore WIFP supports this amicus brief.

7 The **Women's Rights and Empowerment Network (WREN)** is a nonpartisan nonprofit
8 organization whose mission is to build a movement to advance the health, economic well-being,
9 and rights of South Carolina's women, girls and their families. WREN recognizes that the health
10 and education of women and children is crucial in order to ensure statewide prosperity. We
11 advocate for policies that address the barriers that families, predominantly women and mothers,
12 face when accessing the rights and resources needed to make healthy and well informed
13 decisions. Access to contraception is of tremendous significance to women's health, equality,
14 and economic security. WREN seeks to ensure that women receive the full benefits of seamless
15 access to no-cost contraceptive coverage as intended by the Affordable Care Act, and has
16 advocated for this at the state and national level.

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28